

August 25, 2010

Ms. Kimberly Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

**RE: Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Hydropower License Surrender
Kilarc-Cow Creek Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 606)**

Dear Ms. Bose:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) has reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Kilarc-Cow Creek Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 606 (Project), issued on June 22, 2010. PG&E concurs with the conclusions and recommendations presented in the DEIS and believes the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC's) analyses of the relative impacts to natural resources are well supported by the available data and substantiates the conclusion that the Proposed Action provides the greatest benefit to aquatic species, including anadromous and resident fish. The purpose of this letter is to provide recommended clarifications and corrections to certain statements made in the DEIS and to address specific staff recommendations regarding proposed license surrender conditions.

General Comments

1. PG&E supports FERC staff's adoption of the proposed Protection, Enhancement and Mitigation (PM&E) measures from the License Surrender Application (LSA).¹ However, PG&E strongly recommends that the exact wording of the PM&E measures be used in the Final EIS and in the subsequent surrender order. These measures were carefully developed by PG&E in consultation with resource agencies, and through outreach with landowners and other stakeholders during the development of the LSA. In Section 2.3.3 of the DEIS, many of the PM&E measures which originated in PG&E's LSA have been summarized or altered, and if these alterations are incorporated into the final surrender order, it may inadvertently impact the effectiveness of the PM&E measure.
2. The DEIS analyzes PG&E's decommissioning plan as proposed in its LSA as the Proposed Action, as well as two additional alternatives (Action Alternative 1 [AA1] and Action Alternative 2 [AA2]). Given that neither AA1 nor AA2 was adopted as the preferred alternative, PG&E has not analyzed them and, therefore, offers no comments on either alternative at this time.

¹ Pacific Gas and Electric Company. 2009. Kilarc-Cow Creek Hydroelectric Project. License Surrender Application. FERC Project No. 606. March 12, 2009.

3. The DEIS discusses the Stewardship Council's Land Conservation Plan (LCP) in several places. It is not clear to PG&E why the DEIS addresses the LCP. PG&E respectfully suggests that there may be a misunderstanding as to the status and function of the LCP.

While the DEIS states in several places that the proposed decommissioning plan conflicts with the recommended concepts of the LCP, these statements are not accurate. The LCP is a conceptual plan that articulates general baseline conditions on PG&E's watershed lands, and is a summary-level description of potential actions that will be considered to achieve the long-term management objectives. The Settlement Agreement² that established and governs the actions of the Stewardship Council confirms the supremacy of FERC licenses and orders over the Stewardship Council's planning process. For example, conservation easements must contain an express reservation of right for the continued operation and maintenance of hydroelectric facilities and associated water delivery facilities, and provide for compliance with any FERC license, FERC license renewal or other regulatory requirements. Thus, a FERC order to surrender the license, and all conditions and requirements contained within that FERC order, would take precedence over the Stewardship Council's land conservation planning process and any conservation easement created as a result of the Stewardship Council process. Consequently, there can be no "conflict" between PG&E's proposed decommissioning plan and the LCP. For these reasons, PG&E respectfully suggests that the discussion of the LCP in the DEIS is inaccurate and confusing. Therefore, PG&E requests that staff strike all references³ to the LCP from the Final EIS.

4. The DEIS uses the term "project lands" more broadly than does the LSA, which may cause some confusion regarding the direct and indirect impacts of Project decommissioning. The LSA defines the "Project Area" as the area within the defined FERC boundary where Project decommissioning would occur. For some resources, the term "Project vicinity" was used in the LSA to describe areas within 5 miles of the Project Area, depending on threshold guidelines. PG&E recommends that FERC define project lands clearly in the Final EIS to reduce confusion.
5. The DEIS states that "PG&E should include Sierra Pacific Industries' (SPI) requirement to maintain its access roads to minimum specifications when used during the Proposed Action within the Project boundary." PG&E has been in communication with SPI but has not obtained their specifications. In PM&E Measure GEOL-1, PG&E proposes to use the specifications contained in Water Quality Management for Forest System Lands in California Best Management Practices (BMPs)⁴ based on consultation with USFWS during the development of the decommissioning plan and LSA. PG&E would like the opportunity to

² See California Public Utilities Commission Decision 03-12-035 (Dec. 18, 2003), Appendix A (Settlement Agreement), pp. 38-39.

³ Requested deletions include but are not limited to references on pages xx, 159, 169, 175, 182-186, 234, 247, and 258-259 of the DEIS.

⁴ USDA-FS. 2000. Water Quality Management for Forest System Lands in California, Best Management Practices. USDA-FS PSW Region. Available at: http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/publications/water_resources/waterquality/water-best-mgmt.pdf.

review SPI's road specifications and compare them to the proposed specifications contained in Forest Service BMPs, reserving the right to comment if there are material differences.

6. In the DEIS, FERC's No-Action Alternative is PG&E's continued operation of the Project under the terms and conditions of the existing annual license. However, since PG&E did not file an Application for License by the March 27, 2005 statutory deadline and no other entity timely filed a license application pursuant to the applicable FERC regulations, it is PG&E's understanding that the Project may not operate indefinitely under annual licenses, but rather must cease operation. Therefore, PG&E believes the No-Action Alternative is more appropriately characterized as PG&E ceasing water diversions and leaving the facilities in their current condition with no mitigation.

Specific Comments

PG&E provides the following specific comments:

7. *Section 3.2.1 Geographic Scope*, page 37, first paragraph, line 1: The DEIS states, “Therefore, the geographic scope considered for analysis of the Cow Creek Development extends from the pools at the upstream diversion dams on Mill Creek and South Cow Creek to the first diversion on South Cow Creek downstream of Hooten Gulch to include the Tetrick Hydroelectric Project and Abbott Ditch Diversion (Figure 4).” PG&E recommends clarifying that the geographic scope ends at the confluence of South Cow Creek and Hooten Gulch, and includes the Abbot Ditch and Tetrick Hydro Project on Hooten Gulch.

8. *Section 3.3.2.1.1 Water Quantity/Affected Environment/Kilarc Development*, page 49, last paragraph, sentence 1: The DEIS states, “For the Kilarc Development, PG&E diverts 2.5 cfs from North Canyon Creek, 7.5 cfs...” PG&E recommends the following edit for clarification:

“For the Kilarc Development, PG&E can diverts up to 2.5 cfs...”

9. *Section 3.3.2.1.1 Water Quantity/Affected Environment/Cow Creek Development*, page 52, third paragraph, sentence 1: PG&E recommends the following edit for clarification:

“For the Cow Creek Development, PG&E can diverts up to 20 cfs from Mill Creek...”

10. *Section 3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects of the Proposed Action/Kilarc Development*, page 86, third paragraph, second sentence: The DEIS states, “The proposed PM&E (Measure GEOM-1) would include: ...the dam footing will be retained as a channel elevation control; and the depth of the temporary channel through the sediment will be cut at the downstream end to the same elevation as this footing...” PG&E would like to clarify that PM&E Measure GEOM-1 does not include a provision to retain a dam footing. LSA Appendix A, Proposed Decommissioning Plan, page 2-26, second bullet, describes the abutments and foundation structures to be left in place at the South Cow Diversion Dam to minimize potential future erosion and disturbance to the slopes. However, page 2-10 describes removal of all features of the Kilarc Main Canal Diversion Dam. It indicates “The diversion dam appears to be constructed on natural bedrock. The concrete portion that was added to construct the diversion will be removed.” PG&E recommends the following edit:

“The proposed PM&E (Measure GEOM-1) would include: excavation of a temporary artificial channel through the stored sediment in conjunction with dam removal; ~~the dam footing will be retained as a channel elevation control; and~~ the depth of the temporary channel through the sediment will be cut at the downstream end to the same elevation as this footing the top of the natural rock barrier on which the dam was constructed.”

11. *Section 3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects of the Proposed Action/Kilarc Development*, page 86, third paragraph, last sentence: The DEIS states, “PG&E has also proposed to monitor downstream areas...to ensure that accumulation of sediment...does not create temporary barriers to fish passage (PM&E Measures AQUA-4 and AQUA-5).” PG&E would like to

clarify that PM&E Measure AQUA-4, which addresses the potential for South Cow Creek Dam cutoff walls to become fish passage barriers, is specifically designed for the South Cow Creek Diversion and is not applicable to the Kilarc Diversion Dam. PG&E recommends the following edit for clarification:

“PG&E has also proposed to monitor downstream areas in the bypassed reach to ensure that accumulation sediment transported downstream following removal of the diversion dam does not create temporary barriers to fish passage (PM&E Measures ~~AQUA-4 and~~ AQUA-5)”

12. *Section 3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects of the Proposed Action/Kilarc Development*, page 88, second paragraph, last sentence: The DEIS states, “Under the Proposed Action, a program would be implemented as part of the PM&E measures to trap and relocate any remaining trout to an appropriate location specified by Cal Fish and Game (PM&E Measures AQUA-2 and AQUA-7).” PG&E recommends deleting the reference to AQUA-2, and including consultation with NMFS. PM&E Measure AQUA-2 addresses fish rescue at five diversion dams (Kilarc Main Canal, South Cow Creek, Mill Creek, and North and South Canyon Creek diversion dams) and the Kilarc Tailrace. It does not apply to the Kilarc Forebay. Additionally, PM&E Measure AQUA-7 states “The Licensee shall conduct fish rescues in the Kilarc Main Canal and Forebay to rescue any fish that remain in these waters during the decommissioning process. These fish shall be relocated to suitable areas to be determined in consultation with CDFG and NMFS.” Because the Cow Creek Watershed includes anadromous streams, fish rescues must be conducted in consultation not only with CDFG, but also NMFS. Both resource agencies have indicated it is their policy not to introduce non-native hatchery trout into anadromous waters. PG&E recommends the following edits for clarification:

“...to trap and relocate any remaining trout to an appropriate location specified by Cal Fish and Game **and NMFS** (PM&E Measures ~~AQUA-2 and~~ AQUA-7).”

13. *Section 3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects of the Proposed Action/Cow Creek Development*, pages 91, third paragraph and 92, third paragraph; and *Section 4.2.2 Fisheries and Aquatic Resources*, page 257, second paragraph: These paragraphs of the DEIS evaluate effects on aquatic species in the Cow Creek Powerhouse tailrace and Hooten Gulch, and reference PM&E measures AQUA-7 and AQUA-9. However, PM&E Measure AQUA-7 does not address the Cow Creek tailrace or Hooten Gulch, so reference to it should be deleted from these paragraphs. (See LSA pages E.4-9 and E.4-11 for PM&E measures AQUA-2 [fish rescue in instream work area] and AQUA-7 [fish rescue in canals and forebays], respectively).

PM&E Measure AQUA-9, which applies to Hooten Gulch, states “The Licensee shall discontinue Cow Creek Powerhouse operations in the spring when natural flow is present upstream of the powerhouse.” Implementation of PM&E Measure AQUA-9 would minimize impacts on aquatic resources. Hooten Gulch would return more gradually to its natural ephemeral state, fish would move downstream as natural flows subside, and channel work would be implemented after the channel has naturally gone dry. Although fish rescue at the

tailrace or in Hooten Gulch was not proposed in any of the PM&E measures, PG&E would implement a fish rescue if so directed by FERC or NMFS.

14. *Section 3.3.4.2 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Botanical Resources/Kilarc Development*, page 107, fourth paragraph, fourth sentence: The DEIS states, “Restoration would be conducted in consultation with private landowners where appropriate and may include reseeding with appropriate seed mixtures or planting. Native plant and seed mixtures would be used as available; sterile cereal seed mixtures may also be used for erosion control, if available.” Private landowners may prefer to use non-native plant material such as pasture grasses rather than native species for re-seeding. To be consistent with PM&E Measure BOTA-1, PG&E recommends the following edit:

“Restoration would be conducted in consultation with private landowners where appropriate and may include reseeding with appropriate seed mixtures or planting. Native plant and seed mixtures would be used as available **unless the private landowner requests the use of other materials**; sterile cereal seed mixtures may also be used for erosion control, if available.”

15. *Section 3.3.4.2 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Botanical Resources/Cow Creek*, page 110, second paragraph, last sentence: The DEIS states, “The proposed re-seeding would use native seed types or sterile cereal seed.” Similar to comment number 14 above, PG&E recommends the following edit:

“The proposed re-seeding would use native seed types or sterile cereal seed **unless the private landowner requests the use of other materials**.”

16. *Section 3.3.4.2 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Invasive/Noxious Plants/Our Analysis*, page 113, last paragraph, fifth sentence: The DEIS states, “Priority should be given to the use of native seed rather than cereal or other seed even if certified as sterile in all areas where reseeding would be conducted. Monitoring for pioneering by noxious species should be conducted in areas of reseeding to minimize opportunistic growth of noxious weed species.” PG&E would like to clarify that the weed species reported from the Project Area are C-rated or unrated. The purpose of reseeding is to establish desirable vegetation, which may be pasture species instead of native species in some instances, as well as to reduce the potential for erosion. However, various weed species are common in much of the area around Project roads, particularly within the Cow Creek development. While selective control of noxious weeds would be an element of the Mitigation and Monitoring Plan, complete control of weeds in reseeded roadbeds that pass through areas dominated by weed species is not considered feasible. Therefore, PG&E recommends the following edits:

“Priority should be given to the use of native seed rather than cereal or other seed even if certified as sterile in all areas where reseeding would be conducted, **to the extent that this is consistent with landowner management preferences. To minimize opportunistic growth of noxious weed species, Monitoring monitoring** for pioneering by noxious species should be conducted in areas of reseeding ~~to minimize opportunistic growth of noxious weed species~~ as part of the one year of post-construction monitoring provided in PM&E Measure GEOL-1.”

17. *Section 3.3.5.3 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Amphibians and Reptiles*, page 129, first paragraph, second sentence; and *Section 3.3.5.3 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action*, page 131, first paragraph, first sentence: In reference to PM&E Measure WILD-2 the DEIS states, "...the licensee would provide for a biological monitor..." PG&E would like to clarify that it proposes to have a biological monitor on-call throughout the construction phase (see LSA PM&E Measure WILD-2). This monitor would be available as needed, but would not be present at all times during Project implementation.
18. *Section 3.3.8.1 Affected Environment/Kilarc Development*, page 163, footnote 31: The DEIS states "The patented area is land not owned by the federal government or PG&E, but is held in trust by DOI and under the jurisdiction of BIA." PG&E would like to clarify that patented land identified here describes all privately held land not owned by the federal government or PG&E, and also includes the land held in trust by DOI under the jurisdiction of the BIA. Therefore, PG&E recommends the following edit:

"The patented area is land not owned by the federal government or PG&E, but is **other land that is privately held or land** held in trust by DOI under the jurisdiction of BIA."
19. *Section 3.3.8.2 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Cow Creek Development*, page 177, fourth paragraph, second sentence: The DEIS states that PG&E is exploring the option of acquiring land rights associated with the land held in trust by DOI under jurisdiction of the BIA. PG&E would like to clarify that it currently has land rights for the Project facilities and to access this land, but is exploring the option of acquiring the land itself.
20. *Section 3.3.8.2 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Cow Creek Development/ Shasta County General Plan*, page 183, second paragraph, second sentence: The DEIS states that the Proposed Action could indirectly conflict with the Shasta County General Plan by removing the perennial water supply to the Abbott Diversion. PG&E would like to clarify that the Proposed Action does not affect the ability of Abbott Ditch Users to divert water from another location on South Cow Creek. In addition, dryland agriculture, particularly dryland pasture, is an important component of agriculture in the county. As noted in the DEIS, there are 390,800 acres of land in farms in Shasta County of which only 48,700 acres are irrigated. Therefore, PG&E believes that the Proposed Action would not conflict with the Shasta County General Plan's objectives and policies for preserving agricultural land.
21. *Section 3.3.10.2 Cow Creek Development/Employment and Income*, page 204, fourth paragraph, second sentence: Note that the consumptive water right held by Tetrick Ranch is in South Cow Creek and, pursuant to the adjudication of the watershed, may not be used for the purpose of power generation.
22. *Section 3.3.10.2 Environmental Effects of Proposed Action/Cow Creek Development/ Property Values*, page 208, second paragraph: The DEIS states that the assessed value of agricultural land used for cattle grazing in Shasta County varies from \$500 to \$700 per acre, depending on carrying capacity and length of growing season. As most of the agricultural land used for cattle grazing in Shasta County is not irrigated, it is not clear why the DEIS

concludes that removal of the augmented water source to 312 acres irrigated by the Abbott Diversion would reduce the property values.

23. *Section 3.3.11.1 Affected Environment/Area of Potential Effect*, page 211, last paragraph: PG&E would like to clarify the Area of Potential Effects (APE) as used in the DEIS. The APE is defined in 36 CFR 800.16(d) as “the geographic area or areas which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist. The APE is influenced by the scale and nature of the undertaking and may be different for different kinds of effects caused by the undertaking.” The APE only includes those lands in which an undertaking or project may effect or cause changes to a geographic area that may contain historic properties. The APE was carefully defined in the cultural resources survey⁵ found in Appendix M of the LSA, and the FERC Memorandum of Agreement (pending final signatures) with concurrence of the State Historic Preservation Officer, and does not include lands outside the Project boundary. The APE includes all lands within the Project boundary where Project operations may directly or indirectly affect historic properties. As stated in the LSA and Memorandum of Agreement, PG&E would mitigate the adverse effects of decommissioning activities upon historic properties within the Project APE.

Thank you very much for your consideration of these comments. If you have any questions on the comments above, please contact me at (415) 973-7465.

Sincerely,



Lisa Whitman
License Project Manager

cc: FERC Project No. 606 Interested Parties Mailing List and Service List (attached)

⁵ Siskin et al. 2009. Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation for the Kilarc-Cow Creek Hydroelectric Decommissioning Project. Appendix M, License Surrender Application. FERC No. 606, Shasta County, California. March 12, 2009.

Name	Address	City	Zip Code
Interested Parties			
Aaron Dowling		Millville	96062
Al Smith		Santa Cruz	95062
Albert W. Smith - SD Living Trust		Homewood	96141
An Swain		Whitmore	96096
Annie Manji		Redding	96001
Arne Hultgren California Land & Timber Manager Roseburg Resources Co		Weed	96094
Art Tilles		Whitmore	96096
Barbara and Roger Arnold		Whitmore	96096
Bill Ruhe		Redding	96003
Billie Albaugar		McArthur	96056
Bill & Betty Stoltenberg		McArthur	96056
Bill Ellis		Whitmore	96096
Billi Mason		Fall River Mills	96028
Bob & Bonnie Azark		Whitmore	96096
Bob Carey W.M. Beaty & Associates.		Redding	96099-0898
Bob Harris		Whitmore	96096
Bob Mark		Whitmore	96096
Bob Stanton		Millville	96062
Bob Whitmore		Whitmore	96096
Brian Johnson Trout Unlimited		Berkeley	94710
Bruce Ross		Redding	96003
Candie Jefferies		Cottonwood	96022
Cassie Patrick		Whitmore	96096
Chantz Joyce		Shingletown	96088
Charles Bonham Trout Unlimited		Berkeley	94710
Charles McKittrick		Redding	
Chris Engels		Redding	96003
Chuck Keefer		Redding	96003
Chuck Lydy		Redding	96003-3977
Cody Washburn		Redding	96099
Curtis Stevens		Whitmore	96096
Dan Nelson		Palo Cedro	96073
Daryl Harris		Redding	96003
Dave Albrecht		San Jose	95139
David Braga		Whitmore	96096
Denise Harman		Redding	96001
Dianne Parten		Whitmore	96096
Dil Donohoe		Whitmore	96096
Dorothy Mason		Fall River Mills	96028
Dottie Smith		Palo Cedro	96073
Dylan Darling		Redding	96003
Dylan Darling Redding Record-Searchlight		Redding	96049-2397
Earl & Joan Whitmore		Oak Run	96069
Ed Bishop		Whitmore	96096
Elizabeth Hadley		Redding	96001
Ellie Rumbrough		Whitmore	96090
Emily Brady		Whitmore	96096
Eric Engels		Shasta Lake City	96007
Erik Poole		Millville	96062
Ernie West		Burney	96013
Evelyn Reed		Whitmore	96096
Fletcher Family Trust c/o Ann E. Soske		Anacortes, WA	98221
FORT CROOK MUSEUM c/o Robert Ingram Fort Crook Historical Society		Fall River Mills	96028
Gary E. Gamel Attorney at Law		Woodside	64062
Freida Keefer		Redding	96001
Gary Hendrix		Oak Run	96069
Gary Mitchell		Palo Cedro	96073
Glenn & Judy Dye		Whitmore	96096
Heidi Silva		Whitmore	96096
James Duffy		Whitmore	96096
James W. Fletcher President, Fort Sutter Company		Sacramento	95821
Jan Caster		Redding	96049
Jay Gerdes		Redding	96002
Jeff Drecen		Whitmore	96096
Jerry Kelley		Anderson	96007

FERC Project No. 606 Interested Parties Mailing List

Jerry Smith		Redding	96001
Jim Fletter		Sacramento	95864
Jim Linnell		Whitmore	96096
James W. Fletter c/o Fort Sutter Company		Sacramento	95821
Joe and Bert Stewart		Cottonwood	96022
Joe Warren		Whitmore	96096
John Fenn		McArthur	96056
John Livingston		Redding	96001
John Higley		Palo Cedro	96073
John Hutford		Whitmore	96096
Joshua Patrick		Whitmore	96096
Judith and William Arnold		Whitmore	96096
Justin Gooch		Whitmore	96096
Katy Patrick		Whitmore	96096
Kay Luster		Shasta	96087
Kelly Catlett		Sacramento	95811
Kelly Sackheim		Fair Oaks	95628
Kim and Lyle Wroe		Whitmore	96096
Laura Carnley		Whitmore	96096
Len Lindstrand		Redding	96099
Lew Winberg		Redding	96002
Linda Barneby		Whitmore	96096
Lorin Neel		Whitmore	96096
Lucille Lansing		Carmichael	95608
Lynette & Richard Gooch		Whitmore	96096
Maggie Trevelyn		Whitmore	96096
Margie Simpson		Oak Run	96069
Maria Burnham		Whitmore	96096
Mike Berry		Redding	96001
Mike Quinn KLXR		Redding	96001
Monty Turner		Bella Vista	96008
Nancy E. Martin		Whitmore	96096
Nancy Snodgrass		Red Bluff	96080
Nancy Tranberg		Whitmore	96096
Neil Tocher		Whitmore	96096
Norene Post		Whitmore	96096
Norman Matteoni		San Jose	95126
Matteoni, O'Laughlin & Hechtman			
Pete Dubyck		Whitmore	96096
Pete and Peggy Hufford		Whitmore	96096
Randy Benthin		Redding	96001
Randy Carnley		Whitmore	96096
Richard Dederer		Whitmore	96096
Richard Ely		Davis	95618
Richard and Lynette Gooch		Whitmore	96096
Richard & Rachelle Dederen		Whitmore	96096
Richard Stapler		Millville	96060-9100
RJ Roth		Whitmore	96096
Rob Robinson		Whitmore	96096
Robert Fay		Whitmore	96096
Robert Ingram		McArthur	96056
Robert Pelissier		Redding	96003
Ron Whitney		Whitmore	96096
Roy Atkins		Whitmore	96096
Russ Herrick		Redding	96001
Russ Mull		Redding	96001
Ruth Patrick		Whitmore	96096
Sandra L. Winters		Anderson	96007
Scott A. Morris, Attorney at Law Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard		Sacramento	95814-4416
Sharon Prince		Redding	96003
Sharyn Cornelius		Palo Cedro	96073
Shasta Historical Society		Redding	96001
Sherryl Dye		Whitmore	96096
Spencer & Dee Allen		Whitmore	96096
Steve & Becky Miller		Redding	96001
Steve Cole		Whitmore	96096
Susan Goodwin		Whitmore	96096
Susan Goodwin		Whitmore	96096
Terry & Jeri Johnson		Whitmore	96096
Thomas Glenn Dye		Whitmore	96096
Thomas R. Dye		Whitmore	96096
Timothy Dye		Whitmore	96096
Tricia Bratcher		Whitmore	96096
Tricia Bratcher		Whitmore	96096

VR Farrell Family c/o Sandee Farrell Blalock		Millville	96060
Ken and Lori Newsom		Palo Cedro	96073
The Jones Family		Millville	96062
Richard T. Jones		Millville	96062
Bob and Debbie Stanton		Millville	96062
Jeff and Sandee Blalock		Shingletown	96088
Bud Farrell		Millville	96062
Erik and Kristi Poole		Millville	96062
Rick Sabanovich		Palo Cedro	96073
Art Abbott		Millville	96062
Steve Tetric		Millville	96062
William R. Ellis		Whitmore	96096
Whitmore Volunteer Fire Department		Whitmore	96096
Joel Mallette		Whitmore	96096
Brett Toler		Palo Cedro	96073
Camie Weir		Whitmore	96096
Scott Rynd		Whitmore	96096
Chuck and Melissa Brehmer		Whitmore	96096
Brian B. Brady		Whitmore	96096
Patricia McTimmonds		Whitmore	96096
Donna Abbott		Redding	96099-0898
Rio Reimer, Vice President S. Cow Creek Ditch Association		Whitmore	96096-0096
Ada Little-Fay		Whitmore	96096
Richard Stapler		Millville	96062-9700
Peter Hufford		Whitmore	96096
Robert Harris		Whitmore	96096
Kelly Miller		Anderson	96007
Sandy and Don Winters		Anderson	96007
Phil & Suzanne Betts		Whitmore	96096
Government and Agencies			
Honorable Congressman Wally Herger Dave Meurer, District Representative	410 Hemsted Drive, Suite 115	Redding	96002
Angela Richardson Office of County Administrator	1450 Court Street, Suite 308	Redding	96001-1661
Amy Fesnock	USFWS 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605	Sacramento	95825-1846
Bill Foster	USFWS 2800 Cottage Way, Rm W-2605	Sacramento	95825-1846
Bill Seffren	Bureau of Indian Affairs 1900 Churn Creek Road, Suite 300	Redding	96002
Brenda Olson	USFWS 10950 Tyler Road	Red Bluff	96080
Brian Cluer	NOAA Fisheries Service 1315 East West Highway	Silver Spring	20910
Catherine Hibbard	USFWS 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605	Sacramento	95825
Dan Hytrek	NOAA General Counsel Southwest 501 W. Ocean Blvd Ste. 4470	Long Beach	90802
David White	NOAA 777 Sonoma Avenue, #325	Santa Rosa	95404
Deborah Giglio	USFWS 2800 Cottage Way, Rm W-2605	Sacramento	95825
Dr. Virgil Akins, Superintendent	Bureau of Indian Affairs 1900 Churn Creek Road, Suite 300	Redding	96002
Duane Marti	BLM 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-1834	Sacramento	95825
Heidi Horvitz	California State Parks P.O. Box 2430	Shasta	96087
Jack Williamson	USFWS 10950 Tyler Road	Red Bluff	96080-7762
Jeff Parks	Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 14th Floor	Sacramento	95814-2828
Jeremiah Karuzas	USFWS 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605	Sacramento	95825-1846
Jerry McLean	CA Dept. of Forestry & Fire Protection 11787 Ponderosa Way	Whitmore	96096
Jim Canaday	Water Resources Control Board 1001 I St, 14th Floor	Sacramento	95814
Kathy Brown	USFWS 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605	Sacramento	95825
Keith White	CalFire 875 Cypress Aveune	Redding	96001
Maria Rea	National Marine Fisheries Service 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300	Sacramento	95814-4708

FERC Project No. 606 Interested Parties Mailing List

Matt Myers	CA Department of Fish and Game 601 Locust Street	Redding	96002
Matthew P. Kelly, Chief, Redding Office	US Army Corps of Engineers 152 Hartnell Avenue	Redding	96002
Michael Fehling Sector Superintendent, Cascades Sector	California State Parks P.O. Box 2430	Shasta	96087
Milford Wayne Donaldson Office of Historic Preservation California Department of Parks and Recreation	1416 9th Street, Room 1442-7	Sacramento	95814
Naseem Alston National Marine Fisheries Service	650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300	Sacramento	95814-4708
Randy Beckwith	CA Department of Water Resources 901 P Street	Sacramento	95814
Richard L. Wantuck	NOAA Fisheries 777 Sonoma Avenue	Santa Rosa	95404
Russ Kanz	Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 14th Floor	Sacramento	95814-2828
Samantha Olson	Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 14th Floor	Sacramento	95814-2828
Stephen Bowes	1111 Jackson Street	Oakland	94607
Steve Edmondson	NOAA Fisheries 777 Sonoma Avenue, Room 325	Santa Rosa	95404-6528
Steve Puccini	CDFG 1416 9th Street 12th Floor	Sacramento	95814
Indian Tribes			
Barbara Murphy	Redding Rancheria 2000 Redding Rancheria Road	Redding	96001
Ben Lego	Madesi Band, Pit River Indians PO Box 278	Montgomery	96065
Bill George	Atsugewi Band, Pit River Indians PO Box 114	Hat Creek	96040
Caleen Sisk-Franco	Winnermem Wintu Tribe 14840 Bear Mountain Road	Redding	96003
Carol Cantrell	Madesi Band PO Box 203	Montgomery	96065
Carol Sinclair	9253 Chaparral Dr.	Redding	96001
Gloria Gomes	United Tribe of Northern California Inc. Wintu, Wintun, Winton	Redding	96003
Kelli Hayward	Wintu Tribe of Northern California 3576 Oasis Road	Redding	96003
James Hayward, Sr.	Redding Rancheria 2000 Redding Rancheria Road	Redding	96001
Laverna Jenkins	Atsugewi Band, Pit River Indians 42277 Wilcox Rd.	Hat Creek	96040
John Castro	United Tribe of Northern California Inc. Wintu, Wintun, Winton	Redding	96003
Loretta Root	5620 Kofford Lane	Redding	96001
Matthew Root	16117 North St.	Keswick	96001
Reitha Amen	Itsatawi Band 18342 Rory Lane	Cottonwood	96022
Sharon Elmore	Pit River Environmental Office 37118 State Highway 299E	Burney	96013
Tracy Edwards	Redding Rancheria 2000 Redding Rancheria Road	Redding	96001
Tracy Edwards	Roaring Creek Rancheria PO Box 52	Montgomery	96065
Willard Rhoades	Itsatawi Band 3907 Joanne Lane North	Cottonwood	96022

FERC Project No. 606 Service List

Party	Primary Person or Counsel of Record to be Served	Other Contact to be Served
Thomas Dye	Thomas Dye 30655 Whitmore Rd Whitmore, CA 96096	
Laura and Randy Carnley	Laura and Randy Carnley 10471 Blue Mountain Ranch Road Whitmore, CA 96096	
Wally Herger		**Wally Herger Honorable Congressman 410 Hemsted Drive Suite 115 Redding, CA 96002
Amy Fesnock		**Amy Fesnock 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825-1846
Angela Richardson		**Angela Richardson 1450 Court Street, Suite 308 Redding, CA 96001
Brenda Olson		Brenda Olson 10950 Tyler Rd Red Bluff, CA 96080
Catherine Hibbard		**Catherine Hibbard 2800 Cottage way, room W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825
Dan Hytrek		Dan Hytrek, Attorney 501 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 4470 Long Beach, CA 90802
David White		David King White, Hydraulic Engineer 777 Sonoma Avenue, Suite 325 Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Deborah Giglio		Deborah A Giglio, Senior Biologist 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825
Duane Marti		Duane Marti, Realty Specialist 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-1834 Sacramento, CA 95825-1886
Virgil Akins		**Virgil Akins, Superintendent 1900 Churn Creek Road Redding, CA 96002
Heidi Horvitz		**Heidi Horvitz P.O. Box 2430 Shasta, CA 96087
Jack Williamson		**Jack Williamson 10950 Tyler Road Red Bluff, CA 96080
Jeffrey Parks		Jeffrey Parks, Water Resources Engineer PO Box 2000 Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
Jeremiah Karuzas		Jeremiah Karuzas 2800 Cottage Way, room W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825
Jerry Mclean		**Jerry Mclean 11787 Ponderosa Way, Whitmore, CA 96096
Kathy Brown		Kathy E Brown, Wildlife Biologist 2800 Cottage Way, W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825
Keith White		**Keith White 875 Cypress Avenue Redding, CA 96001
Maria Rea		**Maria Rea 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300 Sacramento, CA 95814
Russ Kanz		Russ J Kanz Environmental Specialist PO Box 2000 Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
Samantha Olson		Samantha K. Olson, Staff Counsel PO Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100
Matthew Kelly		**Matthew Kelly 152 Hartnell Ave. Redding, CA 96002

FERC Project No. 606 Service List

Michael Fehling		**Michael Fehling P.O Box 2430 Shasta, CA 96087
Milford Donaldson		**Milford W Donaldson PO Box 942896 Sacramento, CA 94296-0001
Naseem Alston		**Naseem Alston 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300 Sacramento, CA 95814
Richard Wantuck		Richard L Wantuck Regional Fisheries Bioengineer 777 Sonoma Ave, Ste 325 Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Steve Edmondson		**Steve Edmondson 777 Sonoma Ave. Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Randy Beckwith		**Randy Beckwith 901 P Street Sacramento, CA 95184
Abbott Ditch Users	Frances Francis Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Second Floor Washington, DC 20036	Erik Poole 26526 S. Cow Creek Road Millville, CA 96062
Amador Water Agency	Joshua Horowitz, Attorney Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan 1011 22nd Street Sacramento, CA 95816-4907	Jim M Abercrombie, General Manager Amador Water Agency 12800 Ridge Road Sutter Creek, CA 95685
Association of California Water Agencies		Dan Smith Director of Regulatory Affairs Association of California Water Agencies 910 K St., Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95814
California Department of Fish and Game	Stephen Puccini, Senior Staff Counsel Office of the General Counsel 1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	
California Electricity Oversight Board	Sidney Mannheim, Senior Staff Counsel Electricity Oversight Board 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 95814	
California Hydropower Reform Coalition	Richard Roos-Collins Director, Legal Services Natural Heritage Institute 100 Pine St., Suite 1550 San Francisco, CA 94111	
California Hydropower Reform Coalition	Charlton Bonham Trout Unlimited 1808B 5th Street Berkeley, CA 94710	
California Public Utilities Commission	Traci Bone CPUC California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102	
California Resources Agency		Catherine Cross, Office of the Secretary California Resources Agency 1416 9th St Ste 1311 Sacramento, CA 95814-5509
City of Pasadena Dept. of Water & Power		Eric R Klinkner Assistant General Manager City of Pasadena Dept. of Water & Power 150 S. Los Robles, Suite 200 Pasadena, CA 91101
County of Shasta (CA)	Frances Francis Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Second Floor Washington, DC 20036	James R Ross Asst County Counsel County of Shasta (CA) 1450 Court Street, Suite 332 Redding, CA 96001
Davis Hydro	Richard Ely 27264 Meadowbrook Dr Davis, CA 95618	
Duke Energy North America, LLC	Mark Perlis, Partner Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403	

FERC Project No. 606 Service List

Evergreen Shasta Power, LLC	Steven Tetric Tetric Ranch 27500 S Cow Creek Rd Millville, CA 96062	
FRIENDS OF THE RIVER, INC.	Kelly Catlett, Policy Advocate Friends of the River 915 20th Street Sacramento, CA 95814	
Glendale, City of		**Steven G Lins, Assistant City Attorney Glendale, City of 613 E Broadway, Ste 220 Glendale, CA 91206-4308
Humboldt, County of		**Tamara C Falor, Esquire Humboldt, County of 825 5th St Eureka, CA 955011153
Imperial Irrigation District		John Steffan Imperial Irrigation District PO Box 937 Imperial, CA 92251-0937
KC LLC	Kelly Sackheim, Principal 5096 Cocoa Palm Way Fair Oaks, CA 95628-5159	
Kilarc-Cow Creek Project LLC	John Whittaker Winston & Strawn LLP 1700 K St. N.W. Washington, DC 20006-3817	
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power	Norman Pedersen, Attorney Hanna and Morton LLP 444 South Flower Street, Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2916	Robert Pettinato Los Angeles Department of Water & Power PO Box 51111 Los Angeles, CA 90051-5700
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California		Ernest Hahn, Sr. Resource Specialist Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 700 N. Alameda St. Box 54153 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	Wallace Duncan, President Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke PC 1615 M Street NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036	Diana Mahmud, Deputy General Counsel Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 700 North Alameda Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
Modesto Irrigation District		Gregory Pohl Modesto Irrigation District PO Box 4060 Modesto, CA 95352-4060
Nevada Irrigation District		**Ronald S Nelson, General Manager Nevada Irrigation District PO Box 1019 Grass Valley, CA 95945-1019
Nevada Irrigation District	Jeffrey Meith, Partner Meith, Soares & Sexton, LLP 1681 Bird Street Oroville, CA 95965	**Les Nicholson, Hydro Manager Nevada Irrigation District 28311 Secret Town Rd Colfax, CA 95713-9473
NOAA, General Counsel Southwest		Eric Theiss, Fisheries Biologist NOAA, General Counsel Southwest 1655 Heindon Road Arcata, CA 95521
Northern California Power Agency		Karl W Meyer Northern California Power Agency 180 Cirby Way Roseville, CA 956786420
Oroville-Wyandotte Irrigation District	Jeffrey Meith, Partner Meith, Soares & Sexton, LLP 1681 Bird Street Oroville, CA 95965	Michael Glaze, General Manager Oroville-Wyandotte Irrigation District 2310 Oro-Quincy Highway OROVILLE, CA 95966
Pacific Gas and Electric Company		**John Sandhofner, Supt. Pacific Gas and Electric Company SHASTA HYDRO 20818 Black Ranch Rd Burney, CA 960139639
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Liv Imset Pacific Gas and Electric Company 245 Market St San Francisco, CA 94105	**Randal S Livingston, Lead Director Pacific Gas and Electric Company PO Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177-0001

FERC Project No. 606 Service List

Pacific Gas and Electric Company		William V Manheim Pacific Gas and Electric Company PO Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120-7442
Pacific Gas and Electric Company		PG&E Law Dept FERC Cases Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 3120 B30A San Francisco, CA 94120-7442
Pacific Gas and Electric Company		Matthew A Fogelson Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94120
Redding Electric Utility		David Arthur Redding Electric Utility PO Box 496071 Redding, CA 96049-6071
Regional Council of Rural Counties		Lon W House Regional Council of Rural Counties 4901 Flying C Rd Cameron Park, CA 95682
Reliant Energy Power Generation, LLC	**Kirby Bosley, Manager Reliant Energy Wholesale Group PO Box 148 Houston, TX 77001-0148	
SAVE KILARC COMMITTEE	Thomas Dye 30655 Whitmore Rd Whitmore, CA 96096	
Shasta Historical Society	Sandi Winters 1449 Market St Redding, CA 96001-1026	
Silicon Valley Power	Michael Pretto Silicon Valley Power 1500 Warburton Ave Santa Clara, CA 950503713	Raymond C Camacho Assistant Director of Electric Silicon Valley Power 1500 Warburton Ave. Santa Clara, CA 95050
Solano Irrigation District	Jeffrey Meith, Partner Meith, Soares & Sexton, LLP 1681 Bird Street Oroville, CA 95965	**Robert Isaac, Gen. Manager Solano Irrigation District 508 Elmira Rd Vacaville, CA 956874931
Southern California Edison Company	Catherine Giovannoni Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036	**Michael D Mackness Southern California Edison Company PO Box 800 Rosemead, DC 91770-0800
Tetrick Ranch	Frances Francis Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW, 2 nd Fl. Washington, DC 20036	William Huang Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP 1333 New Hampshire Ave, NW Washington, DC 20036
Tetrick Ranch	Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP 1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036	Steven P Tetrick Tetrick Ranch 27500 S Cow Creek Rd Millville, CA 96062
Tri-Dam Project		Steve Felte General Manager Tri-Dam Project PO Box 1158 Pinecrest, 95364-0158
Trout Unlimited	Brian Johnson, Staff Attorney Trout Unlimited 1808B 5th Street Berkeley, CA 94710	
Turlock Irrigation District		Michael T Brommer Turlock Irrigation District 333 E Canal Dr Turlock, CA 95380-3946
U.S. Department of Interior	**Kerry O'Hara U.S. Department of Interior Office of the Regional Solicitor 2800 Cottage Way Ste E1712 Sacramento, CA 95825-1863	Patricia Port, REO U.S. Department of Interior 1111 Jackson Street suite 520 Oakland, CA 94607
Yuba County Water Agency	Joshua Horowitz, Attorney Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan 1011 22nd Street Sacramento, CA 95816-4907	Curt Aikens, General Manager Yuba County Water Agency 1220 F Street Marysville, CA 95901